1 2 3 4	A. PETER RAUSCH, JR. (State Bar No. 127930) LAW OFFICES OF A. PETER RAUSCH, JR. 1930 Tienda Drive, Suite 106 Lodi, California 95242 Telephone: (209) 339-8500 Facsimile: (209) 339-8505 Email: counsel@rausch.com		
5	Attorneys for Plaintiff & Counter-Defendant, Russell Hinton Co., a California corporation		
6	DAVID A. ROSENFELD (State Bar No. 058163)		
7	Weinberg, Roger & Rosenfeld 1001 Marina Village Parkway, Suite 200		
8	Alameda, California 94501-1091 Telephone: (510) 337-1001		
9	Facsimile: (510) 337-1023 Email: drosenfeld@unioncounsel.net		
10	Attorneys for Defendants & Counter-Claimants,		
11	District Council 16 of the International Union of Painters and Allied Trades;		
12			
13	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
14	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
15	SAN FRANC	CISCO DIVISION	
15 16	RUSSELL HINTON CO., a California	CISCO DIVISION Case No. 3:11-CV-01627-SI	
	RUSSELL HINTON CO., a California Corporation	Case No. 3:11-CV-01627-SI STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]	
16	RUSSELL HINTON CO., a California	Case No. 3:11-CV-01627-SI STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER (I) VACATING RULE 26(F) DISCLOSURE DEADLINES AND	
16 17	RUSSELL HINTON CO., a California Corporation Plaintiff & Counter-Defendant, v.	Case No. 3:11-CV-01627-SI STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER (I) VACATING RULE 26(F) DISCLOSURE DEADLINES AND INITIAL CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE PENDING BINDING	
16 17 18	RUSSELL HINTON CO., a California Corporation Plaintiff & Counter-Defendant, v. DISTRICT COUNCIL 16 OF THE INTERNATIONAL UNION OF PAINTERS	Case No. 3:11-CV-01627-SI STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER (I) VACATING RULE 26(F) DISCLOSURE DEADLINES AND INITIAL CASE MANAGEMENT	
16 17 18 19	RUSSELL HINTON CO., a California Corporation Plaintiff & Counter-Defendant, v. DISTRICT COUNCIL 16 OF THE	Case No. 3:11-CV-01627-SI STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER (I) VACATING RULE 26(F) DISCLOSURE DEADLINES AND INITIAL CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE PENDING BINDING ARBITRATION AND (II) SETTING STATUS CONFERENCE	
16 17 18 19 20	RUSSELL HINTON CO., a California Corporation Plaintiff & Counter-Defendant, v. DISTRICT COUNCIL 16 OF THE INTERNATIONAL UNION OF PAINTERS AND ALLIED TRADES AND DOUG	Case No. 3:11-CV-01627-SI STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER (I) VACATING RULE 26(F) DISCLOSURE DEADLINES AND INITIAL CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE PENDING BINDING ARBITRATION AND (II) SETTING STATUS CONFERENCE District Judge Susan Illston	
16 17 18 19 20 21	RUSSELL HINTON CO., a California Corporation Plaintiff & Counter-Defendant, v. DISTRICT COUNCIL 16 OF THE INTERNATIONAL UNION OF PAINTERS AND ALLIED TRADES AND DOUG CHRISTOPHER,	Case No. 3:11-CV-01627-SI STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER (I) VACATING RULE 26(F) DISCLOSURE DEADLINES AND INITIAL CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE PENDING BINDING ARBITRATION AND (II) SETTING STATUS CONFERENCE	
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	RUSSELL HINTON CO., a California Corporation Plaintiff & Counter-Defendant, v. DISTRICT COUNCIL 16 OF THE INTERNATIONAL UNION OF PAINTERS AND ALLIED TRADES AND DOUG CHRISTOPHER, Defendants & Counter-Claimants.	Case No. 3:11-CV-01627-SI STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER (I) VACATING RULE 26(F) DISCLOSURE DEADLINES AND INITIAL CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE PENDING BINDING ARBITRATION AND (II) SETTING STATUS CONFERENCE District Judge Susan Illston	
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	RUSSELL HINTON CO., a California Corporation Plaintiff & Counter-Defendant, v. DISTRICT COUNCIL 16 OF THE INTERNATIONAL UNION OF PAINTERS AND ALLIED TRADES AND DOUG CHRISTOPHER, Defendants & Counter-Claimants. The parties, by and through their undersity	Case No. 3:11-CV-01627-SI STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER (I) VACATING RULE 26(F) DISCLOSURE DEADLINES AND INITIAL CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE PENDING BINDING ARBITRATION AND (II) SETTING STATUS CONFERENCE District Judge Susan Illston Complaint Filed: April 4, 2011	
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	RUSSELL HINTON CO., a California Corporation Plaintiff & Counter-Defendant, v. DISTRICT COUNCIL 16 OF THE INTERNATIONAL UNION OF PAINTERS AND ALLIED TRADES AND DOUG CHRISTOPHER, Defendants & Counter-Claimants. The parties, by and through their undersity	Case No. 3:11-CV-01627-SI STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER (I) VACATING RULE 26(F) DISCLOSURE DEADLINES AND INITIAL CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE PENDING BINDING ARBITRATION AND (II) SETTING STATUS CONFERENCE District Judge Susan Illston Complaint Filed: April 4, 2011 igned attorneys of record, hereby stipulate and cate (i) the Initial Case Management Conference	
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	RUSSELL HINTON CO., a California Corporation Plaintiff & Counter-Defendant, v. DISTRICT COUNCIL 16 OF THE INTERNATIONAL UNION OF PAINTERS AND ALLIED TRADES AND DOUG CHRISTOPHER, Defendants & Counter-Claimants. The parties, by and through their undersiagree and respectfully request that the Court value currently scheduled for September 2, 2011, together comparison.	Case No. 3:11-CV-01627-SI STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER (I) VACATING RULE 26(F) DISCLOSURE DEADLINES AND INITIAL CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE PENDING BINDING ARBITRATION AND (II) SETTING STATUS CONFERENCE District Judge Susan Illston Complaint Filed: April 4, 2011 igned attorneys of record, hereby stipulate and cate (i) the Initial Case Management Conference	

participation in and completion of binding arbitration, which has been selected by the parties and to which this case was referred pursuant to this Court's Order of August 9, 2011 (Docket No. 23).

This application is requested on the grounds that the parties have agreed to and the Court has approved by Order the referral of this case to binding arbitration in accordance with the provisions of the operative Collective Bargaining Agreement to which the parties are signatories. That Collective Bargaining Agreement provides for binding arbitration before an arbitrator selected by the parties or by the California State Mediation and Conciliation Service. Pursuant to this Court's Order Referring this Case to Private ADR, which was entered on August 9, 2011, the deadline for completion of the binding arbitration is December 5, 2011. The parties expect that the matter will either be dismissed in accordance with the arbitration award or application will be made for judgment confirming the arbitration award following the ADR procedure. As a result, neither Rule 26 disclosures, a report thereon, nor a Case Management Conference and pre-trial scheduling order are necessary.

The parties expect the arbitration will fully resolve this controversy and will therefore moot the need for trial before this Court and all attendant pre-trial dates and procedures.

Accordingly, the parties respectfully request that the Court vacate the filing deadlines set forth above together with the Initial Case Management Conference and set a post-arbitration status conference at the Court's convenience some time after December 5, 2011.

Dated: August 16, 2011

28

Respectfully Submitted,

LAW OFFICES OF A. PETER RAUSCH, JR.

By: /s/ A. Peter Rausch, Jr. A. Peter Rausch, Jr.

Attorneys for Plaintiff and Counter-Defendant, Russell Hinton Co., a California corporation

Signed Electronically for eFiling

3/16/20	011 11:38 15103371023 WEINBERG ET AL PAGE 04/0	
/15/201	Case 4:11-cv-01627-YGR Document 25 Filed 08/24/11 Page 3 of 4 2005/005	
1 2 3 4 5 6	Dated: By: Framework Dayld A. Rosenfeld	
8	[PROPOSED] ORDER	
9	PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, and good cause appearing, IT IS SO ORDERED.	
10	The parties' Rule 26 Disclosure and reporting deadlines are hereby vacated. The Court's	
11	Initial Case Management Conference scheduled for September 2, 2011 and all related pre-hearing	
12	filing requirements are hereby vacated. This matter is set for a Status Conference on	
13	Dec. 9, 2011 at a.m./p.m. The parties are directed to file a	
14	Joint Status Conference Report no later than days prior to the Status Conference.	
15		
16		
17		
18		
19 20	Dated: 8/19/11 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	3	

PAGE 04/04

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE I undersigned hereby certify that on August 16, 2011, I electronically filed the foregoing with the Clerk of Court for the United States District Court, Northern District of California by using the CM/ECF system. I certify that all participants in the case are registered CM/ECF users and that service will be accomplished by the CM/ECF system. /s/ A. Peter Rausch, Jr. A. PETER RAUSCH, JR. LAW OFFICES OF A. PETER RAUSCH, JR. Attorneys for Plaintiff and Counter-Defendant, Russell Hinton Co., a California corporation Signed Electronically for eFiling